5

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

> 21 22

23

24

25

26



AZ CORP COMMISSION BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 11 PM 199 May 28

JIM IRVIN

Commissioner - Chairman

DOCUMENT CONTROL

CARL J. KUNASEK

Arizona Corporation Commission

MOCKETED

MAY 2 8 1999

Commissioner

**TONY WEST** 

Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPETITION IN ) DOCKET NO. RE00000C-99-0205 THE PROVISION OF ELECTRIC SERVICES THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA

**NOTICE OF FILING** 

City of Tucson hereby provides Notice of Filing Comments on the name of document. The City expects to make additional comments at the public hearing before the Commission.

DATED this 27 day of \_\_\_\_\_\_

David L. Deibel

Senior Assistant City Attorney

City of Tucson - City Attorney's Office

P.O. Box 27210

Tucson, AZ 85726-7210

i:\dd\deregnot.doc

26

1



## BEFORE THE ARIZONANTORPORATION COMMISSION

2 3 DOCUMENT CONTROL CARL J. KUNASEK Commissioner - Chairman 5 TONY WEST 6 Commissioner 7 JIM IRVIN 8 Commissioner IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLETION IN ) DOCKET NO. RE-00000C-99-0205 THE PROVISION OF ELECTRIC SERVICES 10 THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA ) CITY OF TUCSON'S COMMENTS ON ) THE ACC Staff Proposed Questions to be 11 outline issues to be discussed in this docket. 12 The City of Tucson (City) makes the following comments on the ACC Staff (Staff) Proposed Questions to be used to outline the issues to be discussed regarding the Generic Investigation of the Development of a Renewable Portfolio Standard as a 14 Portion of the Retail Electric Competition Rules: 15 The questions proposed by Staff are appropriate to begin the study of a renewable portfolio standard. To fully discuss this issue, the City believes that the following 16 additions would assist the process: 17 Add "and why" to the end of the last questions contained in bullets #2 and #3. 18 Add the following questions to the Staff's proposed list: 19 20 What are the relative costs of the portfolio standard versus stranded costs? 21 What long-term benefits will the portfolio standard have on the State of Arizona and its residents? Specific items to be addressed include job creation, 22 maintenance of energy dollars in the local economy, load diversification, and pollution prevention. 23 24 25

1	- DATED this 27 day of may, 1999.
2	
3	( A A ( ) · /
4	David L. Deibel
5	Senior Asst. City Attorney City of Tucson – City Attorney's Office
6	P. O. Box 27210 Tucson AZ 85726-7210
7	1405011762 00720-7210
8	
9	AN ORIGINAL AND TEN COPIES of the foregoing City of Tucson's
10	Comments on the Recommendations Of the Hearing Officer Regarding
11	Electric Competition Rulemaking
12	Filed this 27 day of how, 1999, with:
13	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission
14	1200 W. Washington Phoenix AZ 85007
15	Copies of the foregoing mailed
16	This <u>2.7-</u> day of <u>hay</u> , 1999, to:
17	Service List for RE-00000C-94-0165
18	File: I:\1WORK\HM\genportfolio-dd.doc
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	.t